

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webje.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/564,510	01/12/2006	Yasuo Masuda	SHIGA7.036APC	8841	
	7590 03/24/200 RTENS OLSON & BE	EXAM	EXAMINER		
2040 MAIN STREET			CHU, JC	CHU, JOHN S Y	
FOURTEENTI IRVINE, CA 9		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,,		1795			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/24/2008	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com eOAPilot@kmob.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)				
10/564,510	MASUDA ET AL.				
Examiner	Art Unit				
JOHN S. CHU	1795				
	10/564,510 Examiner	10/564,510 MASUDA ET AL. Examiner Art Unit			

	JOHN 3. CHU	1795	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 11 March 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
 \(\)\[\]\[\]\ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
 a)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth		
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE		
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(I Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date		26(a) and the annualist	a automolom for
Laterisations of unite in pay 50 colonies of control of order pays, in leading to the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set for thin (a) above, it checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be t	filed within two month	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	appeal. Since a
AMENDMENTS			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t 			cause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor		ΓE below);	
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better 		ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for
appeal; and/or			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		•	
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov 		I be entered and an e	xplanation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .			
Claim(s) rejected: 1-8.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none.			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
13. Other:			
	/John S. Chu/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1795	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The comparative evidence in the application is not commensurate in scope with the claims wherein the claims lack the resist thickness of the composition as return. The use of the dissolution inhibitors is known for 1 um thick resist compositions and the results disclosed in the specification are expected results, thus not overcoming the prima facie case of obviousness. The results have been established by the prior art to UETAN et al. However those results are not clear for thick resist compositions in the art with a photosensitive novolak resin, thus claims that are commensurate in scope to the comparisons found in the specification may prove to be probative for overcoming the prima facie case of obviousness.